



The Academic Senate of the Faculty of Arts of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice approved, pursuant to Section 27 of Act No. 111/ 1998 Coll., on Institutions of Higher Education (Higher Education Act), as amended, on 1 March 2021 the Regulations for Doctoral Studies at the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice (effective for the non-credited form of study) as its next regulation.

.....
doc. Mgr. Miroslava Aurová, Ph.D., v. r.
Chair of the Academic Senate of the FF JU

.....
doc. PhDr. Ondřej Pešek, Ph.D., v. r.
Dean of the Faculty of Arts

REGULATIONS FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES AT THE FACULTY OF ARTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH BOHEMIA IN ČESKÉ BUDĚJOVICE (NON-ACCREDITED FORM OF STUDY)

The course of study in doctoral fields of study at the Faculty of Arts of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice (hereinafter referred to as "Faculty" or "FF JU") is governed in particular by Section 47 of Act No.111/1998 Coll., on Higher Education Institutions, and on Amendments and Additions to Other Acts (Act on Higher Education Institutions) and the Study and Examination Regulations of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice (hereinafter referred to as "University of South Bohemia" or "JU") In emergency situations, the faculty proceeds in accordance with Sections 95a, 95b, 95c, 95d of the Higher Education Act and makes appropriate use of the special authorisations of the university, including distance communication tools.

Article. 1 Admission procedure

- (1) In doctoral fields of study at the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, candidates can study who meet the requirements set out in the conditions for admission to study in doctoral study programmes at the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice (hereinafter referred to as "admission conditions") .
- (2) The conditions for admission are issued by the Dean of the Faculty every year, no later than four months before the entrance examinations, after discussion in the Academic Senate of the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice.
- (3) The entrance exam takes the form of an interview. The admission interview takes place in front of the Admission Committee, which is appointed by the dean of the faculty on the proposal of the relevant doctoral study board. The Committee shall be of at least three members and shall, as a general rule, be chaired by the Chair of the Doctoral Board. The aim of the admission interview is to verify the applicant's factual and methodological knowledge of the relevant field, the applicant's aptitude for study, i.e. especially the ability to work independently as a scholar and the applicant's idea about the focus of their doctoral studies and



the topic of their dissertation (the applicant submits a thesis of their intended doctoral project and an inventory of the literature studied for the wider focus of their work). The applicant shall prove evidence the relevant results of previous professional work (diploma thesis, or for students who do not applicants for admission immediately after the university graduation, publishing activities). The examination includes verification of the applicant's language skills in world languages.

- (4) In the admission interview, the applicant's overall scholarly work and the readiness of the doctoral project will be evaluated with a grade of "pass" or "fail".
- (5) The Committee shall make a record of the result of the entrance exam and vote by a simple majority; in the event of a tie, the vote of the Chair shall prevail. If the number of applicants exceeds the number to be admitted according to the published conditions of admission, the Committee will determine the order of admission. They will also comment on the form of study in the doctoral programme to which the applicant is applying.
- (6) The total number of students admitted in a given academic year to the full-time form of doctoral studies at the faculty is determined in the admission requirements.
- (7) Doctoral student supervisors are proposed by the Admission Committee and approved by the relevant Doctoral Board, with the main condition being that the supervisors' publishing activities meet the requirements for the award of the teaching title of associate professor.
- (8) On the basis of the data submitted by the applicant and according to the course of the admission procedure, the Admissions Committee will recommend the admission or non-admission of the applicant to study.
- (9) The Dean of the faculty, on the basis of the Committee's proposal and after the opinion of the director of the relevant department, decides on the admission or non-admission of the applicant after the completion of the admission procedure and informs the applicant in writing of his/her decision.
- (10) The decision must be issued within 30 days of verification of the conditions for admission to study pursuant to Section 49(5). The University is not obliged to inform the applicant of the opportunity to comment on the grounds of the decision before issuing a decision on the matter. The applicant has the right to consult the file only after the decision has been notified. The University may provide the applicant with a copy of the file in lieu of allowing the applicant to inspect the file. The applicant may appeal against the decision within 30 days of the date of its notification. The Rector is the administrative appellate body. The Rector reviews the compliance of the contested decision and the proceedings that preceded the decision with the legislation, internal regulations of the university and faculty and with the conditions of admission to study laid down by the university or faculty.
- (11) Applicants who are absent from the admissions procedure for serious reasons and who submit a medical certificate proving their unfitness to take part in the procedure or any other credible explanation for their absence no later than on the fifth working day after the scheduled date of the admissions procedure will be invited on an alternative date. The date will be set by the Dean of the faculty.



Article. 2 Doctoral Board

- (1) The quality of studies in a doctoral study programme is monitored and evaluated by the Doctoral Board established for each doctoral study programme. It is possible to create a common doctoral board for study programmes from the same field of study. Meetings of the Board are convened by its Chair as required, and each year the Chair also submits a report on the activities of the Board to the Vice-Dean for Science and Research.
- (2) The members of the Doctoral Study Programme Board are appointed and dismissed by the Dean after approval by the Scientific Board of the Faculty, up to the maximum period of validity of the accreditation of the doctoral degree programme. The Board has at least five members, who may be professors and associate professors or distinguished experts in the field with a scientific degree, academic title or designation (Ph.D., Th.D., Dr., CSc, DSc. or DrSc.), who have carried out creative activities in the last five years which correspond to the field or fields of study within which the doctoral study programme is to be carried out, at least two of whom must not be employees of the faculty or other legal entities involved in the implementation of the doctoral study programme.
- (3) The guarantor of the doctoral study programme is the Chair of the Doctoral Board.
- (4) The activities of the Board are managed by its Chair. The Chair of the Doctoral Board usually proposes to the Dean the necessary changes or additions to the composition of the Board during its term of office. The Doctoral Board shall decide on the issues submitted by vote at its meetings or by per rollam method.
- (5) Doctoral Board
 - a) prepares the admission procedure for study in a doctoral study programme and proposes the composition of Admission Committees,
 - b) initiates and coordinates a programme of lectures, seminars and other forms of study,
 - c) approves the lecturer and examiner in the compulsory part of the individual curriculum, if this part is defined,
 - d) determines the requirements for individual study plans of students and the requirements for dissertations in a given study programme,
 - e) proposes the supervisor and, at their suggestion, consultants
 - f) approves individual study plans of students,
 - g) discusses the annual assessment of students' studies and monitors the implementation of the individual study plan,
 - h) approves the topics of dissertations and also determines the possible language of the dissertation
 - i) determines the requirements for state doctoral exams,
 - j) proposes members of committees for state doctoral examinations and the defence of dissertations.
- (6) For each supervisor proposed for the first time, the Board will assess his/her scientific, teaching and publishing activities.
- (7) The Dean is responsible for the administrative provision of the work of the Doctoral Board.



Article. 3 Supervisor

- (1) Supervisors are appointed by the Dean on the proposal of the Board from among professors and associate professors, or other experts with scientific rank approved by the relevant scientific board; supervisors of students of doctoral study programmes in the field of art may also be experts with appropriate artistic erudition. In the case of experts without habilitation or without the scientific rank of Doctor of Science, the Doctoral Board is obliged to provide the Dean with a written justification for his proposal for appointment as a supervisor. The Dean doesn't have to accept the proposal.
- (2) On the proposal of the supervisor, on the basis of the student's annual assessment, at the request of the student or for other serious reasons, the doctoral board may propose to the Dean a change in the person of the supervisor. The supervisor may resign for serious reasons.
- (3) In particular, the supervisor shall:
 - a) participate in the admission procedure of the student,
 - b) together with the student, prepare his/her individual study plan,
 - c) propose consultants and the topic of dissertation,
 - d) monitor the course of the student's studies and scholarly work and provides consultations,
 - e) be responsible for the student's involvement in the research work and for adequate factual and financial provision of their research work,
 - f) evaluate the progress of the student's work at the end of each academic year; regularly evaluate the implementation of the student's individual study plan and shall inform the doctoral board about the evaluation.
- (4) The number of doctoral students per supervisor may not exceed seven.

Article. 4 Study

- (1) The standard length of study is four years, the maximum length of study is six years. The period of study does not include any interruption of studies, which may total a maximum of two years.
- (2) Studies in doctoral degree programmes are monitored and evaluated by the doctoral board established in accordance with Section 47, Article 6 of the Higher Education Act and Article 2 thereof.
- (3) Studies in doctoral study programmes are divided into individual academic years. Progress in studies is not evaluated within the credit system, but by checking the fulfilment of individual items of the individual study plan recorded in the IS STAG system. This plan must be based on the basic structure of study obligations specified in the accreditation of the relevant degree programme.
- (4) In the register of courses in the STAG system, courses intended only for students of doctoral study programmes are not assigned credits.



- (5) Students of doctoral study programmes are classified as "passed" and "failed" for the examination and "passed" and "failed" for the credit. Successful completion means obtaining the classification "passed". The grade average is not determined in doctoral study programmes.

Article. 5 Individual study plan

- (1) The study of the doctoral study programme takes place according to an individual study plan under the guidance of a supervisor and, in justified cases, a consultant. At the beginning of the study, a framework individual study plan is drawn up for the entire study period corresponding to the basic structure of study obligations specified in the accreditation of the relevant study programme. It must be designed in such a way that the student has the opportunity to meet the conditions of the study plan according to the relevant accreditation during the course of his/her studies. It contains a list of all study obligations, scientific, research or other creative duties, as well as planned or recommended foreign stays or other internships or teaching activities.
- (2) At the beginning of each academic year, an individual study plan is drawn up for the given academic year, listing all the individual study obligations of the given year and the form of their control.
- (3) The framework individual study plan for the entire study period as well as the detailed individual study plan of the given academic year are approved by the doctoral board. These individual study plans are the responsibility of the student and are always created in consultation with the supervisor. The supervisor shall approve these plans and submit them to the departmental board within three months of the beginning of each academic year in which the student is enrolled. Upon approval by the Board, the individual study plan becomes binding on the student.
- (4) The individual study plan framework includes:
 - a) a list of all study obligations in accordance with the accreditation of the relevant study programme
 - b) academic years in which individual basic study obligations as well as those related to writing a dissertation are fulfilled
 - c) academic year in which the state doctoral exam is passed
 - d) the topic of the dissertation and the language of preparation, if different from the language in which the study is accredited, including the academic year of submission of the dissertation.
- (5) The individual study plan for a given academic year includes:
 - a) list of individual study obligations of the given academic year
 - b) list of study subjects for completion in a given academic year, requirements for their fulfilment and form of control (registration in the IS STAG system, which is carried out by the Study Department).
- (6) The implementation of the individual study plan is subject to regular evaluation at the end of each academic year. This evaluation, together with the proper justification



is submitted by the supervisor and subsequently discussed and approved by the Doctoral Board. The results used as a basis for evaluating the individual study plan for individual examinations or credits are recorded by the examiner directly in the IS STAG system, for the fulfilment of other study obligations they are recorded by the supervisor.

- (7) The evaluation of the individual study plan is confirmed in writing by the supervisor and Chair of the Doctoral Board or by a member of the Doctoral Board appointed by the Chair. At the end of each academic year, but no later than 20 September, the supervisor submits the final assessment to the Study Department for registration in the IS STAG Study System.

The conclusion of the evaluation is the statement that the student:

- a) has fulfilled the individual study plan with the recommendation of enrolment for the next academic year, which will then be carried out by the Study Department;
 - b) has not fulfilled the obligations of his/her individual study plan and the supervisor submits a proposal to terminate his/her studies. On the basis of the justification, this proposal for graduation is confirmed by the Doctoral Board.
- (8) A student of a doctoral study programme may apply for a change in the form of study within the same study programme carried out in both full-time and combined forms of study. The Dean decides on the change of form of study based on the recommendation of the supervisor and after approval by the Doctoral Board.
- (9) The student may also request in writing to the Dean to change the individual study plan; the request must be recommended in advance by the supervisor and approved by the doctoral board.

Article. 6

Withdrawal and termination of studies

- (1) A student who intends to withdraw from studies shall notify this fact in a written statement addressed to the Dean of the Faculty. They can do so at any time during his studies.
- (2) Studies in the doctoral study programme are duly completed by a state doctoral exam and a public defence of the doctoral thesis.
- (3) Studies in the doctoral study programme are further terminated in the ways specified in Section 56(1) of the Act on Higher Education and on Amendments and Supplements to Other Acts (the Act on Higher Education).
- (4) In case of non-compliance with the requirements arising from the study programme, the Dean of the Faculty, on a proposal from the Chair of the Doctoral Board, decides to terminate his/her studies if the student:
 - a) has not fulfilled the obligations of his/her individual study plan according to Article 5 for a period of at least one year, has not drawn up his/her individual



- study plan within the prescribed time limit or has not communicated with his/her supervisor for a long period of time.
- b) did not meet the conditions for a state doctoral exam within the specified deadline,
 - c) has failed to pass the state doctoral exam either in the remedial term or within the time limit referred to in Article 7, para. (7).
- (5) The date of termination of studies pursuant to paragraph 3 shall be the date on which the decision to terminate studies becomes final.

Article. 7 **State Doctoral Exam**

- (1) The student must defend the dissertation and pass the state doctoral exam no later than one year after fulfilling the conditions of study in the doctoral study programme, i.e. after completing all study obligations stipulated by the individual study plan. The date of the exam is set by the Dean.
- (2) The student submits an application for the State Doctoral Exam at the Department of Science and Research of the FF JU, which then informs the Chair of the Doctoral Board and the Study Department.
- (3) On the basis of a proposal from the Doctoral Board, the Dean of the Faculty appoints the members and chairman of the Committee for the State Doctoral Exam. Only professors, associate professors and experts approved by the Faculty's Scientific Board may be members of the State Examination Committee. The Committee shall have at least five and not more than nine members, including the Chair. At least two members of the Committee are members of the Doctoral Board and at least two members of the Committee are outside the academic community of the University of South Bohemia. A supervisor and opponents of doctoral dissertation may also be appointed as a member of the Committee.
- (4) The Dean informs the Chair of the Doctoral Board and the Department for Science and Research of the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice. The Department of Science and Research of the FF JU informs the members of the Committee of their appointment and informs the student (no later than three weeks before the examination) of the composition of the Examination Committee, the date, place and form of the exam.
- (5) The State Doctoral Exam is administratively arranged by the Vice-Dean for Science and Research in cooperation with the relevant department where the examination takes place (registration of the application form, distribution of appointment letters and other administrative tasks).
- (6) The state exam is managed by the Chair of the Examination Committee. The course of the state exam and the announcement of the result are public, the deliberations of the examination committee for the state doctoral examination on the result of the state doctoral exam are private. The result of the exam shall be decided by secret ballot. The participation of at least a majority of the members of the Committee, at least one of whom must be outside the academic community of the University of South Bohemia, is required, and a simple majority



vote is valid. In the event of a tie, the vote shall be repeated and the Chair of the Committee shall have two votes. The test shall be rated 'passed' or 'failed'.

- (7) In the event of failure, the examination may be repeated only once in two months and no later than one year, and at least half of the members of the Committee must be replaced. The student must reapply for the re-examination within the specified time limit and the same procedure as for the first examination must be followed; the maximum period of study stipulated in Article 4, paragraph 1, must be observed.
- (8) All documentation, in particular the report on the course and outcome of the state exam, signed by all the members of the Committee present, and other materials submitted to the Committee shall be handed over by the Chair of the Examination Committee to the Department of Science and Research of the FF JU, this will ensure subsequent referral to the Study Department.

Article. 8 Defence of the Dissertation

- (1) The application for the defence of the dissertation will be submitted by a student at the Department of Science and Research of the FF JU together with all the requirements. The dissertation is submitted in four copies and on an electronic medium for insertion into the STAG database, its summary of 10 - 20 pages in 30 copies. **The dissertation includes**
 - (a) the obligatory title page (name of the university, name of the faculty, name and surname of the doctoral student, title of the dissertation, type of work - "dissertation", name, surname and the supervisor's employer, place of issue - České Budějovice and the year of completion, (b) a declaration of the independent preparation of the thesis, which contains the elements specified in the Rector's Decree on the Publication of Bachelor's, Master's, Dissertation, Rigorous and Habilitation Theses, (c) a mandatory annotation of the thesis in Czech and English, (d) an optional acknowledgement sheet, and (e) a mandatory contents sheet. The actual text of the dissertation follows.
- (2) By submitting the qualification thesis, the author agrees to publish his/her work according to Section 47b of the Higher Education Act, regardless of the outcome of the defence Details of the publication of qualifying theses are governed by the relevant Rector's decree. The qualification thesis submitted for defence must be published in IS STAG at least five working days before the defence and in such a way that before the defence of the qualification thesis, the text is checked in the register of university qualification theses, an integral part of which is a system for detecting intentional unauthorised use of another person's work in gross violation of legal regulations governing the protection of intellectual property pursuant to Section 47c(2).
 - (b) of the Higher Education Act and the results of this review could be taken into account during the defence.



- (3) The doctoral student uploads the dissertation in PDF format to the appropriate place in IS STAG and follows the relevant Rector's decree on the publication of qualifying theses. The administrative officer of the department where the doctoral student studies will indicate the date of submission in STAG and block further manipulation of work, thus triggering an automatic check of similarity with other works. Once the results of the check are available, the PhD student's supervisor marks the result of his/her own check for the purposes of the defence - either indicating that the thesis is not plagiarised or, alternatively, that it is plagiarised. He/she prints the result of his/her own assessment, which he/she has marked electronically in IS STAG (the first page of the generated protocol is sufficient), signs it and inserts it into the protocol during the defence. The PhD student's supervisor shall also draw up a short verbal assessment of the submitted thesis. In it he/she summarizes (in one paragraph) the doctoral candidate's professional (scientific and possibly also pedagogical) work to date and evaluates the contribution of his/her dissertation. The supervisor's assessment must explicitly state that the submitted thesis is not plagiarized and explicitly state that he/she recommends the submitted thesis for defence, without which the defence of the thesis cannot proceed. If the supervisor's assessment states that the thesis is plagiarized or that the thesis is not recommended for defence, the author has the right to insist on defending his/her thesis, but the supervisor is obliged to inform the committee during the defence in detail during the defence of the reasons that lead him/her to claim plagiarism and/or not to recommend the thesis. The outcome of the defence then depends on the opinion of the Committee. The doctoral board of the relevant doctoral programme is also informed without delay through the Chair.
- (4) The publication of the qualifying thesis or part thereof may be in accordance with the Section 47b(4) of the Act on Higher Education and on Amendments and Additions to Other Acts is deferred for the duration of the impediment to publication, but for no longer than 3 years. Information on the deferral of publication shall be published, together with the justification, in the database of qualifying theses in IS STAG. After the defence of the qualifying thesis to which the deferral of publication under the first sentence applies, the faculty at which the qualifying thesis was defended shall, without undue delay, forward one copy of the thesis to the Office for Studies at the Rector's Office of the University of South Bohemia, which shall send a copy of the thesis to the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports for preservation.
- (5) If the dissertation includes publications in which other co-authors participate, the dissertation must be accompanied by a statement by the co-authors on the extent of the student's share.
- (6) The Department of Science and Research at the FF JU informs the Chair of the Doctoral Board and the Study Department about the receipt of the application for defence and all required materials.
- (7) The defence of the dissertation takes place before the Dissertation Defence Committee, which is appointed by the Dean on a proposal from the Doctoral Board, which usually coincides with the State Doctoral Examination Committee or can be extended by other members. Only professors, associate professors and experts approved by the Faculty's Scientific Council may be members of the Committee, which has a minimum of five and a maximum of nine members, two of whom are usually opponents. If possible, the Chair of the Defence Committee is the same as the Chair of the student's State Doctoral Examination Committee. At least 2 members of the Doctoral Board are to be represented on the



Committee and at least 3 members may not be from the University of South Bohemia or institutions to which the accreditation of the doctoral degree programme is extended. The supervisor cannot be a member of the Dissertation Defence Committee or an opponent of the dissertation.

- (8) The Dissertation Defence Committee appoints two opponents and its Chair sets out the form, place and time of the defence. One of the opponents may be an employee of the University of South Bohemia. The opposition assessment shall include an opinion as to whether or not the opponent recommends the work for acceptance at the defence.
- (9) The defence must take place within six months of submitting the dissertation and all required documents. However, if the Defence Committee finds from the assessments of opponents that the dissertation does not meet the requirements for it for remediable deficiencies, it may invite the student to remedy the deficiencies within the time limit it sets.
- (10) The dissertation defence is administratively arranged by the Vice-Dean for Science and Research in cooperation with the relevant department where the defence takes place (registration of the application and all required documents, sending out appointment letters, inviting the supervisor, sending out the thesis and abstract to the opponents, and other administrative tasks according to the disposition of the Chair of the Defence Committee).
- (11) The Vice-Dean for Science and Research, in cooperation with the relevant institute and the Department for Science and Research of the Faculty of Arts of the Czech Republic, will also ensure: a) sending out summaries with the announcement of the date of the defence to the faculties of art and selected institutes of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, (b) informing the academic community of the University of South Bohemia no later than one week before the defence by announcing the place and time of the defence, (c) handing over the opposition opinions to the applicant and the chairman of the Committee no later than 6 days before the defence and sending out summaries and opinions to the members of the Committee. If the six-day period is not observed, the student has the right to withdraw from the defence, but he/she must inform the chair of the Committee of his/her decision no later than 48 hours before the scheduled start of the defence.
- (12) The defence of the dissertation is managed by the Chair of the Defence Committee. The defence is public in the language in which the study is accredited, or the language skills of the student and the members of the committee may be taken into account in the participation of foreign opponents with the approval of the Doctoral Board. The supervisor (if not present for serious reasons, the Chair of the Committee) introduces the candidate, who then summarizes the results of his/her dissertation within a maximum of 30 minutes. The opponents will comment on the dissertation; the candidate may respond to their comments individually or in summary. If the opponents are not present at the defence (the personal presence of the opponents is desirable and expected especially in the case of a negative opinion of the opponent), the opponent's opinion will be read by the Chair of the Committee or a member authorised by him/her. A discussion will then follow. A closed session of the Committee follows. Members of the Doctoral Board who are not members of the Committee, or the supervisor or opponents if they are not members of the Committee, may attend



the closed meeting of the committee without voting rights. The Dissertation Defence Committee shall act by secret ballot by a majority vote of the members present, at least five of whom must be present, and at least one of the members present must be outside the academic community of the University of South Bohemia. In the event of a tie vote, the same procedure shall be followed as in Article 7, paragraph 6. The dissertation defence is evaluated with a grade of "pass" or "fail".

- (13) All documentation, in particular duly completed and approved protocol on the course and outcome of the defence of the dissertation by all the members of the commission present, will be forwarded by the Chair of the Defence Committee to the Department for Science and Research of the FF JU, which will ensure subsequent referral to the Study Department.
- (14) Pursuant to Section 47b of the Higher Education Act, the thesis, the opinions of the opponents and the record of the course and result of the defence (protocol) must be published through the public part of the qualification thesis database (provided in cooperation between the Department for Science and Research of the Faculty of Arts and the relevant institute at which the defence takes place).
- (15) If the thesis is not defended, the defence may be repeated once in six months at the earliest, after the thesis has been reworked according to the comments of the opponents or the Defence Committee. At least two members of the committee and at least one opponent must be replaced when the defence is repeated. Otherwise, the procedure is the same as for the first defence.
- (16) Within one month after the proper and successful completion of the doctoral study programme, the student receives a diploma confirming the award of the degree "Doctor" (Ph.D.). The date of completion of studies is the day on which the state examination or the last part of it was carried out (defence of the dissertation).

Article. 9 Dissertation

- (1) In the dissertation, the student presents the original results of his/her research obtained during his/her studies, bringing new theoretical knowledge in the field. The thesis may also include its partial study results already published or accepted for publication.
- (2) Formalities are governed by Article 8, paragraph 1, of these Regulations.
- (3) The requirements for the minimum scope and modification of citations are governed by the practices of the discipline, or may be modified by the Doctoral Studies Board in its regulation. The form of examination of the dissertation in terms of its similarity to theses is set out in Article 8, paragraph 3, of these Regulations.



Article. 10
Concluding provisions

- (1) The Regulations for Doctoral Studies at the Faculty of Arts, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, effective from 2 July 2019, are hereby repealed.
- (2) These Regulations of Doctoral Studies at the Faculty of Arts of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice were approved by the College of the Dean on 9 February 2021 and by the Academic Senate of the Faculty of Arts of the University of South Bohemia on 1 March 2021.
- (3) These Regulations for Doctoral Studies at the Faculty of Arts of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice come into force on 3 March 2021.